

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRASIL 08982 291456Z

50

ACTION ARA-06

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 SP-02 EB-03 OES-02 H-01 INR-05

L-01 PM-03 PRS-01 IGA-01 IO-03 RSC-01 /044 W

----- 028493

R 291430Z NOV 74

FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6652

C O N F I D E N T I A L BRASILIA 8982

LIMDIS

E. O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PFOR, BR, XM, OAS

SUBJECT: POLICY PLANNING CONSULTATIONS: REGIONAL COOPERATION
AND THE "NEW DIALOGUE"

REF: BRASILIA 8961

1. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS POSED BY THE USDEL AT THE
END OF ITS PRESENTATION ON THE "NEW DIALOGUE", SOUTO
MAIOR (FOREIGN MINISTER'S CHIEF OF CABINET) SAID BRAZIL
IS NOT REALLY UNEASY WITH THE NEW DIALOGUE, BUT DID HAVE
SOME QUESTIONS REGARDING IT, E.G., THE PRACTICE OF
PRE-CONSULTATION AMONG THE LA'S, WHICH IS NOT (HE SAID)
USUAL IN THE OAS. BRAZIL PARTICIPATES IN THIS PRE-
CONSULTATION, AND FINDS IT USEFUL, BUT IT MAY NOT BE
USEFUL IN THE OVERALL SEARCH FOR SOLUTIONS. BRAZIL IS
NOT REALLY PERSUADED THAT IT WOULD BE BETTER TO FORGET
THE "NEW DIALOGUE" AND GO BACK TO THE OAS, BUT HE WONDERED
WHETHER THE "NEW DIALOGUE" MIGHT NOT BE JUST ANOTHER FORUM
FOR HIGH-LEVEL DISCUSSIONS. THERE IS A PROBLEM, HE WENT
ON, OF FORUM: IF ONE IS FOUND WHICH IS ACCEPTABLE TO
ALL, SOMETHING IS BOUND TO BE OMITTED; AND IT IS
NOT A MATTER OF WHETHER IT IS THE INTERESTS OF ONE SIDE
OR OF THE OTHER THAT ARE OMITTED, BUT RATHER THAT
INTERESTS ARE OMITTED. BRAZIL, HE SAID, HAS NO
CLEAR-CUT ANSWER TO THE PROBLEM. NOGUEIRA FILHO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRASIL 08982 291456Z

(STAFF ASSISTANT TO FOREIGN MINISTER) SAID BRAZIL IS NOT

UNEASY ABOUT THE "NEW DIALOGUE", BUT RATHER WELCOMES IT AS CONSTRUCTIVE, AS A SEARCH FOR UNDERSTANDING BY THE U.S. THE PROBLEM HE IDENTIFIED IS THAT WHILE THE U.S. IS SEARCHING FOR A POLICY, UNDERSTANDING, THE LA'S THINK THE "NEW DIALOGUE" IS FOR OBTAINING CONCRETE ANSWERS TO CONCRETE PROBLEMS, AS SHOWN BY THE BOGOTA AGENDA FOR TLATELOLCO. REMINDED OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PRESENTATION OF DEMANDS AND TRUE DIALOGUE, SOUTO MAIOR NOTED WHAT HE CALLED THE "LOPSIDED BALANCE" IN THE HEMISPHERE, BUT SAID THE PROBLEM IS HOW TO CARRY OUT A DIALOGUE SO AS TO LEAD TO EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS: HE ADDED HE DID NOT HAVE THE ANSWER. IN REITERATING POINTS ABOUT THE U.S. VIEW OF THE "NEW DIALOGUE" AS A FORUM FOR MULTILATERAL CONSIDERATION VS. THE LA'S VIEW OF IT AS A FORUM FOR SOLUTIONS, MONTEIRAO LIMA (CHIEF OF OAS DIVISION) SAID THAT IN BOTH WORKING GROUPS A MUCH MORE COOPERATIVE U.S. ATTITUDE WAS VISIBLE. HE ADDED THAT PERHAPS A CHANGE OF ATTITUDE WAS NEEDED IN THE OAS AS WELL: THAT ORGANIZATION, ONCE SEEN AS A GUARANTOR OF THE SECURITY OF THE HEMISPHERE IN THE FACE OF AN EXTERIOR CHALLENGE, NOW NEEDS ANOTHER PURPOSE. NOTING THAT BILATERAL TREATMENT COULD BE MOST USEFUL FOR BRAZIL, HE SAID THAT IT COULD ONLY BE SO WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF HEMISPHERIC COOPERATION. THE OAS, HE CONTINUED, MUST BE GIVEN BETTER "CONDITIONS OF WORK", PARTLY IN ORDER TO DECREASE THE DANGERS OF A NEW SENSE OF FRUSTRATION ON THE PART OF THE LA'S IF THEIR HOPES FOR CONCRETE SOLUTIONS THROUGH THE "NEW DIALOGUE" ARE DISAPPOINTED. ASKED ABOUT NEW MECHANISMS, HE SAID BRAZIL HAD THOUGHT ABOUT THEM, AND WAS RECEPTIVE IN PRINCIPLE, BUT THAT THERE IS NO SENSE IN CREATING NEW MECHANISMS IF THEY WILL NOT WORK. SOUTO MAIOR AND BARTHEL-ROSA (SUB-CHIEF OF COMMODITIES DIVISION) SPOKE ON THE INTER-FACE BETWEEN THE REGION AND THE WORLD, THE FORMER NOTING THAT A REGIONAL SYSTEM CAN INSULATE THE REGION FROM THE REST OF THE WORLD, AND THE LATTER EXPRESSING THE VIEW THAT WORLD PROBLEMS ARE PERHAPS BETTER TREATED IN WORLD FORUMS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRASIL 08982 291456Z

2. ON SPECIFIC POINTS, SOUTO MAIOR SAID THAT WHILE THE CHILE-PERU SITUATION IS "DELICATE" AND CONTAINS DESTABILIZING ELEMENTS (HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, EMOTIONAL INPUT, IDEOLOGICAL DIVORCE, INTERNAL CHILEAN PROBLEMS, PERUVIAN FEAR OF OUTSIDE INTERFERENCE), THEY DID NOT THINK IT WOULD REACH THE POINT AT WHICH THE RIO TREATY WOULD COME INTO PLAY. MONTEIRO LIMA

SAID THE COMMISSION ON REFORM HAS WASTED A LOT OF
TIME, BUT THAT THEY ARE NOT PESSIMISTIC, THE JOB WILL TAKE
TIME.
JOHNSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLICIES, PLANNING MEETINGS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 NOV 1974
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974BRASIL08982
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740347-0026
From: BRASILIA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974114/aaaaadah.tel
Line Count: 119
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ARA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: BRASILIA 8961
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 10 SEP 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 SEP 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <26 DEC 2002 by MorefiRH>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: POLICY PLANNING CONSULTATIONS: REGIONAL COOPERATION AND THE "NEW DIALOGUE"
TAGS: PFOR, BR, XM, US, OAS, (MAIOR, SOUTO)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005